IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICAUTRE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

CHAMBER SUMMONS NO. 248 OF 2005
IN
SUIT NO. 2004 OF 1997

Prarthana INternational & anr. ... Plaintiffs

Versus

Sheemo Textiles Co. Ltd. & Ors. ... Defendants

Ms. Vandana Tiwari for Defendant No. 3.

Ms. Sneha Chodnekar for Defendant No. 2.

Mr. D.M. Gaonkar i/by Divya Shah Associates for Plaintiffs.

CORAM : A.M. KHANWILKAR,J.
DATED : JUNE 08, 2005

P.C.

. Heard counsel for the parties.

. In my opinion the grievance made on behalf of defendant No. 3 is appropriate as the suit has been transferred to the list of long causes suit in view of the written statement filed by defendant No. 3 in time. Even if defendant No. 2 has not filed, written statement, the same suit ought to proceed before the same Judge and not in the list of undefended suits. It will be open to pass appropriate orders. Counsel for the Plaintiff has no objection if this course be adopted. The concerned court will pass appropriate orders as

against defendant No. 2 as may be warranted in the facts and circumstances. Chamber summons made absolute in terms of Prayer Clause (a). No order as to costs.

(A.M. KHANWILKAR,J.)